

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Platt
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287547
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">JohnPlatt.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFEJohnAPlatt_Attachment_Redacted.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287547_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Platt
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287547
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287547_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Whilst legally compliant in its strictest sense I would question the ethics of simply re-designating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl. Such action I do not believe is justified, nor is it consistent with national policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave Green Belt land as such and seek alternative sites including brown field to develop in order to achieve housing and employment targets. There are certainly sufficient such sites in the Salford and Greater Manchester area.
<b>Family Name</b>	Platt
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287547

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287547_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Whilst legally compliant in its strictest sense I would question the ethics of simply re-designating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl. Such action I do not believe is justified, nor is it consistent with national policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Focus due attention on Strategic Objective 8
<b>Family Name</b>	Platt
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287547
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287547_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Whilst legally compliant in its strictest sense I would question the ethics of simply re-designating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl. Such action I do not believe is justified, nor is it consistent with national policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave Green Belt land as such and seek alternative sites including brown field to develop in order to achieve housing and employment targets. There are certainly sufficient such sites in the Salford and Greater Manchester area.
<b>Family Name</b>	Platt
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287547
<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287547_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	Whilst legally compliant in its strictest sense I would question the ethics of simply re-designating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl. Such action I do not believe is justified, nor is it consistent with national policy.

**is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Leave Green Belt land as such and seek alternative sites including brown field to develop in order to achieve housing and employment targets. There are certainly sufficient such sites in the Salford and Greater Manchester area.